INTRODUCTION
Debt is a double-edged sword. Used pragmatically and in fairness, it recovers welfare. However, if it is used improvidently and in excess, it has adverse effects on the economy. At the micro level, too much debt indicates bankruptcy and financial devastation. At the macro level, high debt recompenses the administration’s ability to deliver indispensable services to the public.

The interface of debt and economic growth is multifaceted as it has effects on economic growth dynamics and the return growth rates affect the debt size.

High rates of economic growth make enable borrowing and the burden of debt. The sustainability of debt is depending on the capability of improving revenue which tends to decrease in a recession. The defaulting of the private sector affects economic movements and tends to enhance debt when private debt is backed by flexible fiscal policy [1].

The link between public debt and economic growth has in recent times seemed emerged again as a strongly debated topic in the academic world and among policymakers. Preliminary from the influential involvement of Reinhart and Rogoff [2, 3] much of the
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ABSTRACT – REZUMAT
Analysing sustainability based relationship between debt and growth in South-Asian economies and their impact on textile industry: a case for developing economies

This research aims to quantify the linear and non-linear relationship between debt and economic growth in selected developing economies. Based on theoretical arguments and annual data considerations in modelling the debt and growth as a complex relationship across countries, our panel methodology is based on the fixed effect technique. Our core finding indicates that government debt lowers the GDP in selected developing economies. We also find that the urban population is a key factor that improves economic growth. Moreover, government expenditures on health and industrialization are helpful to enhance the growth of the economies. Our study also suggests increased exports, industrial development, and investment in education for growth. We also propose certain supporting strategies to reduce the adverse effects of debt-growth relation in the considered economies. Given developing economies’ status, the prerequisite for broad, dynamic and rule-based debt policy is of paramount importance, ensuring the factual choices among numerous possibilities, addressing financial constraints and ensuring intergenerational welfare impact.
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Analiza relației sustenabile dintre datorii și creșterea economică în țările din Asia de Sud și impactul acestora asupra industriei textile: Un studiu de caz pentru economiile în curs de dezvoltare

Acest studiu de cercetare își propune să quantifice relația liniară și neliniară dintre datorii și creșterea economică în cazul economiilor în curs de dezvoltare selecționate. Pe baza argumentelor teoretice și a considerațiilor de date anuale în modelarea datoriei și a creșterii economice ca o relație complexă între țări, metodologia de cercetare de tip panel aplicată în acest studiu, se bazează pe tehnica cu efect fix. Constatarea noastră de bază indică faptul că datoria guvernamentală contribue la scăderea PIB-ului în anumite economii în curs de dezvoltare. De asemenea, constatăm că populația urbană este un factor cheie care îmbunătățește creșterea economică. În plus, cheltuielile guvernamentale pentru sănătate și industrializare sunt utilă pentru a spori creșterea economică. Studiul nostru sugerează, de asemenea, creșterea exporturilor, dezvoltarea industrială și investițiile în educație pentru a sprijini creșterea economică. De asemenea, propunem anumite strategii de sprijin pentru reducerea efectelor adverse ale relației de creștere a datorilor în economiile luate în considerare. Având în vedere statutul economiilor în curs de dezvoltare, condiția prealabilă pentru o politică de îndatorare amplă, dinamică și bazată pe reguli este de o importanță capitală, asigurând alegerile faptice dintre numeroasele posibilități, abordând constrângerile financiare și asigurând impactul asupra bunăstării intergeneraționale.

Cuvinte cheie: datorie, industrializare, creștere economică, economii în curs de dezvoltare, Produsul intern brut (PIB)
works has well-thought-out this link, in recognizing potential non-linearities and explaining their damaging and fundamental influences on growth [4]. The debt-growth nexus can be analysed within a standard neo-classical growth model. Certain notice of the concerned issue, studies done across countries are diligently associated with this work [5, 6].

Industrialization, the result of the industrial revolution that caused structural changes, has regularly increased production levels and employment, promoting extraordinary income growth. So, consistent industrial sector development can be significant for sustainable development. It is required now in a good way in the growth and development literature that the growth of manufacturing output and the growth of GDP are strongly related to each other [7]. The effect of industrialization on economic development has been extensively studied. Entirely past development successes and catch-up since 1870 have been helping raise and gather wealth by investing in their industries [8]. It resulted in amplified capacity and varieties of manufactured goods due to increased employment and better living standard. In the industrialization process, Kaldor [9] suggests the industrial sector as the engine of growth, as it results in the highest potential productivity growth in this sector. This empowers the economy with accurate plans, by transforming sluggish retrieval into an economic recovery.

Education and health are thought foundations for the development of a society. Dissimilar from income, these sectors wholly promote the economy’s prosperity. In this moving era, humans are thou of out as the actual capital of the economy and efficiency and healthy actual capital can serve excellently with competition and efficiency. To provide better living standards, investing in humans is needed in economies. Developing economies having inadequate human capital and with deficit financing by foreign aid, can make use of this foreign aid to control their capital deficiency.

High quality of institutions has been contested as an economic growth impetus by incentivizing economic happenings like consumption and investment, improving efficiency, and making the allocation of resources more proficient [10]. Above mentioned studies on the topic suggest how some factors affect economic growth in different countries. The research aims to analyse how Government debt affects the growth of the economy. The hypothesis is that public debt adversely affects economic growth in selected developing economies. The analysis covers the period from 2002 to 2018. However, we check the influence of government debt (considering gross public debt, even though the net debt would seem like a better measure of government indebtedness [6], government health expenditures, urban population and industrialization on economic growth by using the random effect technique in some selected developing countries in this research. This study appears to contribute to the existing literature in many ways. Firstly, here we investigate how government debt which is an emerging issue for the development of the economy affects economic growth. Secondly, we incorporate domestic general government health expenditures, urban population and industrialization specifically in these selected economies. This research highlights the positive role of the urban population towards enhanced economic growth of these selected developing economies. Moreover, the study results are different from some of the previous studies due to variables, areas and applied methodology. Our study results highlight that, debt significantly affects the growth of selected developing economies.

Objectives of the study
This research investigates whether the relationship between public debt and economic growth is significantly negative or not. The study analyses the role of the urban population in some selected Asian countries. It examines the impact of government education expenditures and industrialization in India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

Organization of the study
The paper is organized as follows. After giving an introduction, the literature review is shown in the second section. The third section comprises a data source and the model specification along with the important variable discussion. The discussion of results and empirical analysis are presented in the fourth section. The concluding remarks are presented in the last section.

LITERATURE REVIEW
Much of the work has been done to seek out the link between debt and economic growth. However, an important review of some of the studies is presented here.

Many theoretic opinions provoke public debt and growth nexus in the long-run [11]. In standard overlapping generation models of growth, public debt makes lessens savings and accretion of capital, hence lowering economic growth [12–14]. In endogenous growth models, public debt generally affects long-run growth negatively [15, 16]. Additionally, high public debt bounds the proficiency of fruitful public spending on long-run growth [17], makes vagueness or potentials for future economic suppression [18] and could be linked with complex independent results [19] resulting in high rates of interest and private investment [20].

Non-linearities in the debt-growth nexus may rise too in case of high debt and as it could unswervingly affect investment, it will happen when investors have to pay tax on new projects for sharing debt burden [21, 22]; or else, as levels of debt levels increase regarding GDP, creditors would be demanded high rates of interest to make compensation of default risk and this influence would rise the cost of limiting investment and financing [23].
The debt-growth nexus is found to be negative but not statistically significant [24]. There is found a one-third effect of debt on growth due to accumulation of physical capital and a two-thirds effect on growth due to growth of factor productivity [25]. Debt and economic growth are associated even in the long-run, and they are positively related if the government obtains and uses the loans for the development of the economy sincerely instead of channeling the funds to get benefits personally [26]. Though debt affects the growth of the Nigerian economy positively in the short-run it depresses growth in the long-run [5].

Panizza and Presbitero [7] show a negative relationship between debt and growth in OECD countries. Moreover, Spulbar et al. [27] investigated the effect of tax revenue on GDP patterns for the European Union – 28 considering the period from 2005 to 2017. Moreover, China is undoubtedly considered by dynamic changes. The critical economic incidents have a strong effect on this economy. Rahman [28] explains the causal relationship between health expenditures and education expenditures on GDP in Bangladesh from 1990 to 2009. It is concluded that health and education expenditures increase the growth of the country.

Kourtellos et al. [5] find that higher public debt tends to decrease growth for countries in the Low-Democracy regime by using a structural threshold regression method. Stylianou [29] finds no causality relationship between debt and growth in Greece. Anita et al. [30] indicate that debt decreases growth both in the short-run and long-run.

The debt-to-GDP ratio damages the growth of economies chiefly in high financial stress for European Monetary Union countries. It is also found that a high debt-to-GDP ratio hardly decreases growth in calm financial markets [31]. Teles and Mussolini [17] propose that the debt-to-GDP ratio influences fiscal policy negatively which as a result decrease growth. The results reveal a significant non-linear relationship between public debt and growth. Naeem et al. [32] also discussed the implications of CO₂ emission, global climate change and economic factors including household incomes and expenditures, which also have an impact on health.

Meñjíbadi et al. [33] investigated the impact of industry 5.0 and also discussed global economic growth based on lower business costs. Domestic debt is detrimental to the economy from the results of both short-run and long-run models. Contrarily, external debt is found to be beneficial in the short-run but has mixed effects found in the long-run. The debt service and inflation rate variables show a consistent negative relationship with GDP while the effect of the exchange rate is rather mixed. The exchange rate effect on the economy is based on the success or otherwise of government policy tools [34].

Isiksal [35] highlights that no economic growth can be achieved without industrialization in Nigeria. He finds a positive link between both variables. Ndiaya and Lv. [36] work on the role of institutions in economic growth in Senegal from 1960 to 2017. The study results find that foreign direct investment and industrialization increase economic growth. Moreover, the inflation rate and foreign exchange decrease economic growth. Nguyen et al. [37] find that institutional quality enhances economic growth for emerging economies growth over the 2002–2015 period by using SGMM methods.

Lim [38] shows the link between debt and growth from a vantage point that takes care of the totality of private and public debt by using data from 41 countries from 1952 to 2016. The vector autoregression model and GMM are used in the study. The result finds a negative link between the rate of total debt accumulation and economic growth, with a one-standard deviation innovation in the former leading to a 0.2 percentage point contraction in the latter.

Pegkas, Staikouras, and Tsamadias [39] also find a negative long-run effect of public debt on growth by using data from 12 eurozone countries from 1995 to 2016. Moreover, the results show that there is long-run unidirectional causality running from investment, trade openness, and human capital to growth and bidirectional causality between public debt and growth.

The above mention studies show the relationship between debt and growth and other explanatory variables in different areas with different methodologies.

**DATA AND METHODOLOGY**

**Data sources**

We employ a panel dataset covering some selected developing economies such as India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Jordan and Namibia from 2013 to 2018. Data has been drawn from the source of the World Development Indicators database. The dependent variable is computed as LGDP. The other independent variables are Debt to GDP ratio (Central Government expenditures on health (percentage of GDP) and Industrialization (manufacturing value added $ US). However, we know that growth is not exclusively the result of debt. Consequently, we incorporate numerous factors as control variables that might influence growth. For the analysis, we use the fixed effect technique to check the effect of explanatory variables on the dependent variable. We are using public debt as literature generally recognized a relationship between debt extended and low level of growth [40].

**Model specification**

The variables are gross domestic product (LGD私下), log of urban population (LUBNP), Government expenditures on health (GHEXP) as a percentage of GDP) and Industrialization (manufacturing value added financial).
\[ LGDP_{it} = \beta_1 GDBT_{it} + \beta_2 LUBNP_{it} + \beta_3 GHEXP_{it} + \beta_4 IND_{it} + u_{it} \]  

(1)

The subscript \( i \) indicates each country and the subscript \( t \) describes each period in this empirical work. The term \( u_{it} \) represents the error term.

**RESULT AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS**

This section analyses the role of Government debt and other explanatory variables such as debt (% of GDP), urban population, government health expenditures and industrialization on gross in some selected Asian countries.

**Descriptive statistics**

The descriptive analysis of variables is shown in the above table. Large variations are found in GDP as it ranges from 10.4123 to 16.0181. The average debt of the selected courtiers is 53.17% percent from 2002 to 2018. Likewise, variations are observed in industrialization (manufacturing value added) from 9.16000 to 2.5388 percent. On average, government health expenditures are 3.0141 percent of GDP in selected developing countries (table 1).

**Unit root test**

In table 2, we have checked the existence of unit root in panel data. For this we have used different tests such as Levin, Lin & Chu, I P, Shin W-stat, ADF – Fisher Chi-square and PP – Fisher Chi-square. Test statistics of four methods used for LGDP, DEBT and IND at level form are not significant which indicates the data is non-stationary at level form. However, all these variables are significant at 1st difference. Moreover, the other variables like LUBNP and GHEXP are stationary at level.

**Empirical results and interpretations**

Table 3 reveals the fixed effects results and the dependent variable is log GDP. Hausman specification test (REM): this test is a common technique to make a comparison of fixed and random effects estimates of coefficients. To choose FEM or REM, we have used the Hausman test. Probability of \( \chi^2 = 0.9907 \). The p-value by Hausman indicates is in favour of random effects.

The study results highlight a nonlinear association between Government debt and gross domestic product. Economic growth might be reduced by increasing government debt. Government debt affects the growth of the economy. A high debt burden creates a lot of problems for the economies. The findings show that the coefficient of DBT is negative and significant. One unit increase in Government debt decreases GDP by 0.0004 percent. High debt is a burden for the general public and the economy. It hampers the growth of the economy. People are unable to avail finance and cannot make sure their resource allocation towards education and health. These results is in line with most of the past analysis done by Presbitero [40], Kourtellos et al. [5], Teles and Mussolini [17], Mencinger [32], Vanlaer et al. [41] and Alshyab [42].

The result shows a positive coefficient of URBNP. One percent increase in urban population increases the GDP by 0.3328 percent in selected developing economies. When a well-educated and employed population play a positive role in employment,
improves investments, per capita income, growth and development. The variable government health expenditure is found to be significantly positive (0.0003). These results show that spending on health tends to improve the GDP in selected developing countries during this time. This indicates that high human capital investments in these economies are connected with increasing growth. The results are in line with Rahman [28] which shows a positive link between health and education expenditures and the growth of the country.

Industrialization is most important for heavy industrial production, growth and development. Industrialization increases gross domestic product. The result is statistically significant. The study result shows that a one percent increase in industrialization increases 0.8780 percent of GDP. The reason can be that industrialization enhances employment, income and economic growth. The result is consistent with Isiksal [35] and Ndiaya and Lv. [36].

**CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

This research makes a significant contribution while discussing the relationship between public debt and long-run economic performance. The prevailing literature focuses on whether there exist nonlinear effects of public debt on growth. The findings show evidence for such nonlinearities. The debt burden is a challenging issue in South Asian economies. The theories under discussion and work done empirically are incapable to find out the reasons for the influences of debt burden on economic growth. This study is a good contribution to examining the effect of government debt burden with industrialization on the gross domestic product by using 10 years of data in selected developing countries. Findings of the negative influence of government debt and gross domestic product are found by Presbitero [40], Kourtellos et al. [5], and Mencinger [32].

Government debt is a great hurdle for investment and human capital development and the growth of the economy. It decreases the chances of investment and saving. There is a dire need to control government debt in these selected developing economies. In these countries, there should be an inclusive set of policies to develop financial crisis know-how and intensity of this issue to lessen its burden on the economy. Moreover, institutional quality leads to improves growth and this is a good indicator of the growth and development of the economy. This institutional quality must be improved further.

The study concludes that more expenditure on health may increase human capital development and economic growth in developing economies so free-of-cost health facilities must provide to all segments of society. For this, institutions must play an important role. In addition, industrialization is contributing well towards growth. Moreover, more education of people must be ensured providing equal opportunities to males and females. In addition, Government must play a transparent and very effective role in lowering the debt burden by making regulations and reforms in the financial sector.
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